2 Secondary Market for Government Bonds

Not only are government bonds a means for government financing, but they are also financial products traded on the ever-changing financial markets at the same time. For JGBs to be issued smoothly and fulfill their functions as indicators of bonds and interest rates, transparency and liquidity must be assured and secondary markets with reliable and efficient settlement must exist. This chapter outlines JGB market liquidity maintenance and enhancement initiatives, as well as how JGBs are traded on the market and how JGB transactions are settled.

(1) JGB Market Liquidity Maintenance and Enhancement

If the JGB market is liquid enough to allow investors to freely trade in JGBs in line with their respective interest rate outlooks and investment strategies, it will contribute to holding down medium to long-term fundraising costs. Therefore, the JGB issuance authority pays attention to the JGB market liquidity.

While liquidity is defined variously, with no strict definition existing, high liquidity is generally explained as allowing market participants to promptly buy or sell as much as they want at prices close to market prices. In order to assess JGB market liquidity, we must combine various indicators to analyze the market from a multifaceted perspective, instead of depending on a limited range of specific indicators. (☞①)

The secondary JGB market consists of JGB Market Special Participants and other brokers, and various investors. The maintenance and enhancement of JGB market liquidity depends basically on the market’s autonomous functions backed by transactions between such market participants. However, the JGB issuance authority complements JGB market liquidity by adjusting issuance amounts, maturities, reopening and other matters.

Specifically, the government has taken the following measures to maintain and enhance JGB market liquidity:

- Conducting Liquidity Enhancement Auctions to add to past issues (☞②)
- Reopening past issues (☞③) to expand the volume of each issue

The government has also held the Meeting of JGB Market Special Participants and the Meeting of JGB Investors (☞④) to identify market conditions through exchange of opinions with market participants.

(2) OTC Transactions and Transactions on the Stock Exchange

The secondary market can be divided into transactions that take place on the Stock Exchange and transactions that are made over-the-counter, for example, at securities companies (OTC transaction). OTC is a predominant transaction method for bonds because bonds have so many issues that their transactions and procedures on the Stock Exchange tend to be complicated and bond transactions are complex.

In the OTC market, in principle, a price is concluded through a negotiation between the parties concerned. However, in order to ensure fair and smooth OTC bond transactions, Self-regulatory Regulations by the Japan Securities Dealers Association require each securities company to maintain the fairness of the transaction by acting at a proper price according to a set of internal rules (☞⑤).

☞① Ref: Column 7 “Liquidity on the JGB Market” (P90).
☞② Ref: Chapter 1 3(2) “Liquidity Enhancement Auctions” (P84).
☞③ Ref: Chapter 1 1(3) Ab “Reopening rule” (P44).
☞④ Ref: Chapter 1 3(5) “Dialogue with Market Participants” (P88).
☞⑤ Furthermore, to improve the price discovery function of the OTC market, the Japan Securities Dealers Association publishes reference statistical prices [yields] for OTC bond transactions on every business day, based on the reports from its member security companies and some other firms. As financial institutions often engage in OTC transactions through their brokers, such transaction price data are available from these brokers.
Currently, 2-Year, 5-Year, 10-Year, 20-Year, 30-Year and 40-Year JGBs are listed on the Stock Exchange in Tokyo and Nagoya, and their transaction volume is published.

**Fig.2-10 Case of the Tokyo Stock Exchange**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>JGB Trading System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trading Hours</td>
<td>12:30 pm - 2:00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading Unit</td>
<td>JPY 50,000 in par value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick Size</td>
<td>JPY 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Orders</td>
<td>Limit orders only (Market orders are not available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Price Limit</td>
<td>JPY 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading Method</td>
<td>Orders are accepted only via Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(electronic document submission system of TSE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Execution</td>
<td>Individual auctions for each issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(price priority and time priority are applied same as stocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Trading and Settlement Dates</td>
<td>Regular transactions (T+1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Settlement through BOJ-NET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source) Japan Exchange Group
(3) Improvements to the JGB Transaction Settlement System

As for the book-entry transfer system for JGB transactions on the secondary JGB market, the Bank of Japan is designated as the transfer institution under the “Act on Book-Entry Transfer of Corporate Bonds and Shares” and operates the system. The system uses book-entry transfer for JGB delivery accompanying JGB transactions between market participants. Practically, the BOJ-NET for fund settlements between private financial institutions is used for the system.

In 1994, the BOJ-NET adopted Delivery-versus-Payment (DVP) settlement (☞①), and in January 2001 changed from the Designated-time Net Settlement (DTNS) (☞②) to Real-Time Gross Settlement (RTGS) (☞③)), to prevent the occurrence of any systemic risk event. Meanwhile, deliberations were held by market participants on how to deal with the significantly increased number of settlements and clerical workload associated with the shift to JGB settlement by RTGS. These deliberations resulted in the Japan Securities Dealers Association formulating the “Japanese Government Securities Guidelines for Real Time Gross Settlement” in August 2000. Based on this guideline, the standards prevailing in the major overseas markets such as Fails Practice, Cut-Off Time, and Reversal Time (☞④), as well as Bilateral Netting (☞⑤) have been adopted in the Japanese secondary markets in order to facilitate flawless settlement and increase efficiency.

The following section reviews the deliberations concerning the JGB settlement system to date and introduces the most recent discussion points.

A. New BOJ-NET

The BOJ launched the development of a new system (hereinafter referred to as New BOJ-NET) in 2008. In January 2014, the key New BOJ-NET component for the first phase came into operation, covering money market operations, JGB auction procedures and delivery procedures for JGB purchasing operations. The New BOJ-NET came into full operation to cover all services on October 13, 2015.

The Forum towards Making Effective Use of the New BOJ-NET was created in August 2013 for further improving the safety and efficiency of the entire settlement system of Japan, invigorating financial markets and advancing financial services. The forum discussed how to use the New BOJ-NET with operation hours extended, a specific extension, and the...
B. Establishment and propagation of the Fails Practice

“Fail” refers to a case of non-delivery of specific securities by the scheduled time due to reasons other than the creditworthiness of the relevant trade counterparty. “Fails Practice” refers to a market routine that prescribes general clerical procedures to be performed between the parties in a Fail instance and provides as a principle that a Fail event does not automatically imply default (☞①). Fails Practice was introduced in January 2001 when the RTGS system for JGB settlement was adopted in Japan. Back then, a fair number of parties neither understood the need for Fails Practice nor had the clerical processing frameworks in place, which prevented Fails Practice from becoming established procedure. However, in connection with the collapse of the investment bank Lehman Brothers in September 2008, default contagion caused an unprecedented surge in Fail events. Subsequently, as a means for market participants to reduce Fail risk, avoiding new repurchase transactions altogether became increasingly widespread, which reduced liquidity not only in the repurchase (repo) market but also in the JGB market. This experience led in May 2009 to the inception of the Working Group concerning Review of Fails Practice for Bond Trading, an organ subordinate to the Japan Securities Dealers Association’s Bonds Committee, which provided a market cross-sectional reviewing framework for policies to reduce Fail frequency and to further establish Fails Practice as a standard. The final report was released in April 2010. The current revision of Fails Practice has been implemented since November 2010, and it includes a newly introduced Fails Charge (☞②) and an accelerated Cut-Off Time.

Based on the recommendation of the “Current Situation and Future Challenges of Debt Management Policy”-Discussion Paper-” that “It is desirable for the issuing authorities to support the discussions on secondary market reform made under market participants’ initiative and to take appropriate measures on its own,” the MOF, after reaching the consensus with JGB Markets Special Participants, has introduced a Fails Charge to be imposed on Buy-back auctions, since January 2011.

C. Shortening of settlement periods

An increase in unsettled transactions through defaults and fails after the September 2008 Lehman Shock prompted market participants to strongly perceive settlement risks, leading once again to the realization that shortening settlement periods would be indispensable for effectively reducing unsettled transactions. Based on this experience, in September 2009, the Working Group on Shortening of JGB Settlement Cycle was established as a subordinate organ of the Promotion Meeting for Reform of the Securities Clearing and Settlement (☞①) to consider adopting T+2 and T+1 as standard settlement periods for JGB transactions.

Based on the paper titled “Development of Institutional Frameworks Pertaining to Financial and Capital Markets” released by the FSA in January 2010, the “Roadmap to Reduce Settlement Risk in JGB Transactions” was formulated and made public in June 2010. The implementation schedule of the extension. Based on the policy given in the report published by the forum in March 2014, operation hours were extended until 21:00 from February 15, 2016. In March 2016, the forum created three working groups, including one on “Cross-border Use of Yen and JGBs”, to discuss the expanded global use of JGBs as collateral and other measures.
roadmap provides that T+2 is to be implemented in the first half of 2012 and that deliberations are to be advanced on specific measures to implement T+1.

In response, the Working Group decided in March 2011 to implement T+2. The implementation came on April 23, 2012. Furthermore, T+1 began to be considered again in the second half of the 2012. Based on discussions at the Working Group and running tests, T+1 was implemented from May 1, 2018 (☞②).

D. Strengthening the operations and expanding the use of clearing institutions

Together with the change in January 2001 to JGB settlement by RTGS, Bilateral Netting was also introduced. Since in the JGB market outright transactions and repurchase transactions are being carried out constantly by multiple market participants, settling all transactions by individual counterparty would render clerical procedures complicated and highly inefficient, and also compel consideration of counterparty risk when making transactions. With regard to transactions contracted between market participants, this situation gave rise to the demand for an arrangement in which payments and JGBs deliveries of JGB transactions are netted under the guarantee of settlement implementations by a clearing institution taking the position between parties (☞①).

In March 2001, the Meeting on the Japanese Government Bond Market (☞②) proposed in the paper entitled “Recommendation to Enhance Liquidity in the Secondary Market” the creation of a JGB clearing agency. Subsequently, after deliberations by the Working Group, in October 2003 the Japan Government Bond Clearing Corporation (JGBC (Japan Securities Clearing Corporation or JSCC at present) (☞③)) was established as the Central Counterparty (CCP) for the JGB market. Actual operations commenced in May 2005. As a result, the relation of rights and obligations contracted between JGBC participants was simplified to the effect that rights and obligations now exist between the JGBC and each participant, with each party’s counterparty risk now posed by the JGBC instead of the transaction counterparty. Moreover, since participants and the JGBC settle only the net balance of funds and identical JGB issues, the amounts of settlements, funds, and JGBs necessary for settlement as well as their exposures during the day are significantly lower than before.

These risk management functions of the JGBC came to bear during the financial crises in September 2008. To enhance the effectiveness of these functions, a paper entitled “Development of Institutional Frameworks Pertaining to Financial and Capital Markets” released by the FSA in January 2010 proposed to strengthen the operations and increase the use of the JGBC. Another paper, entitled “Roadmap to Reduce Settlement Risk in JGB Transactions” released in June 2010, proposed with a view to widening JGBC governance further policies, including the expansion of funding schemes for emergencies such as a participant’s default and the establishment of clear rules for Fail allocation. As a result, steps were indeed taken to widen JGBC governance, including an announcement in September 2010 to strengthen cooperation with the JSCC, and the establishment of clear Fail allocation rules alongside a Fails Practice review on November 2010.

Regarding the expanded use of the clearing organization, the JSCC and trust banks accounting for a large share of JGB settlements considered how to design the clearing system with considerations given to the characteristics of trust banks. In June 2014, trust banks started their participation in the JSCC.
(4) WI Transaction

A WI (When-Issued) transaction is a transaction made during a period between an auction announcement (in principle, a week before an auction date) and the previous day of its issuance. Besides a WI transaction during a period between an auction and the day of its issuance, one has become available prior to an auction date since February 2004. A price of WI transactions functions as a predicted value of a bid price to be accepted because it reflects trends in the demand for a new issue prior to its auction. For the issuer, active WI transactions are considered to contribute to the efficiency of fundraising activities since they strengthen the linkage between the primary and secondary markets and reduce the uncertainty inherent in the auction process.

Fig.2-12 WI Transactions - Conceptual Diagram
(5) Bond *Gensaki* and Bond-Lending Transactions

**A. Bond *Gensaki* Transactions**

Bond *Gensaki* Transactions are bond sales transactions in which the traded bonds are traded back in the opposite direction on a date and at a price specified in an agreement concluded in advance between the parties to the transaction.

Bond *Gensaki* Transactions were a principal fundraising means for financial institutions holding securities soon after the end of World War II. While new short-term financial products such as certificates of deposit (CDs), commercial paper (CP), and large-lot time deposits were widely accepted by investors later, however, Bond *Gensaki* Transactions have been replaced by Bond-Lending Transactions and other means because Bond *Gensaki* Transactions are subject to the securities transaction tax because they are classified as trading. Bond *Gensaki* Transactions were thus limited to those trading mainly in Treasury Bills and Financing Bills (today’s Treasury Discount Bills) free from the securities transaction tax.

Following a recommendation from the “Sub-Council on the Internationalization of the Yen” under the Committee on Foreign Exchange and Other Transactions that Japan’s repurchase market promote transaction formats consistent with global standards (☞①) and the abolition of the securities transaction tax in March 1999, a new Bond *Gensaki* Transaction format was introduced in April 2001 that incorporated risk management methods such as the use of a package settlement provision (☞②), margin call feature (☞③), and substitution (☞④).

Based on discussions at the Working Group on Shortening of JGB Settlement Cycle established in September 2009 (☞⑤), T+1 was implemented as the standard settlement cycle for JGB transactions on May 1, 2018. On this occasion, the settlement cycle for GC (General Collateral) repurchase transactions using unspecified bonds as collateral was shortened from T+1 to T+0, with new *Gensaki* transactions used for developing GC repos under the Subsequent Collateral Allocation Method, leading new *Gensaki* transactions to replace Bond-Lending Transactions.

Since November 2002, the BOJ has introduced JGB *Gensaki* operations using new *Gensaki* transactions in place of operations using the traditional Bond-Lending Transactions.

**B. Bond-Lending Transaction**

Bond-Lending Transactions are Loan Transaction that one party (a lender) lends bonds to a second party (a borrower), and after a specified period, the borrower returns bonds of the same kind and in the same amount to the lender, thereby settling the lending transaction.

Bond-Lending Transactions were introduced in 1989 concurrent with the deregulation of the short-selling of bonds to promote the development of the secondary bond market. Bond-Lending Transactions were for the most part fully uncollateralized initially because regulations were imposed on interest on cash collateral to prevent competition with the Bond *Gensaki* Transactions and because collateralized Bond-Lending Transactions using non-cash collateral such as substitute securities were shunned by market participants due to complicated clerical work.

The collapse of the Barings Bank in February 1995 served as a fresh reminder of the risk associated with unsecured dealings. In order to mitigate credit risk, Bond-Lending Transactions underwent a review towards collateralization, modeled after the U.S. repurchase


☞② A provision whereby if one of the two counterparties to the transaction defaults on payment, all the debts and credits under the basic agreement between them are replaced by one single monetary debt and credit (each of which is obtained by terminating all individual transactions and then offsetting the resulting loss or profit against the total collateral).

☞③ If, while transactions are being conducted, any difference arises as between the market value of the bond in a bond-lending and the value of the collateral provided because of fluctuations in bond prices, this feature permits a counterparty to claim a collateral shortage at any time.

☞④ A feature whereby, during the transaction period, another bond of equal or higher market value can be used to substitute for the bond being sold or purchased, subject to the agreement of both parties and following a notification given by one counterparty to the other of such an intention to substitute.

☞⑤ Ref. Chapter 1 2(3) C “Shortening of settlement periods” (P67).
transactions. Risk management was reinforced by putting into place a package settlement provision and margin call features, and with the change to rolling settlement (☞①) of JGB transactions, the minimum limit for cash collateral was abolished along with the limit on interest. Beginning in April 1996, cash-secured Bond-Lending Transactions were initiated (☞②).

Cash-secured Bond-Lending Transactions have actively been made for GC transactions and SC (Special Collateral) transactions to procure cash bonds required for unwinding short positions on bonds. In November 1997, they were included in the operations of the BOJ. Moreover, the JGBCC in May 2005 started settlement services including repo transaction settlements (such as obligation assumption and netting) and risk management, contributing to expanding repo transactions.

C. GC Repos under Subsequent Collateral Allocation Method

When the standard JGB settlement cycle was shortened to T+1 on May 1, 2018, the settlement cycle for ordinary JGB transactions (hereinafter referred to as outright transactions) and SC repurchase transactions was shortened from T+2 to T+1. At the same time, JSCC introduced GC Repos under Subsequent Collateral Allocation Method (Subsequent Collateral Allocation Repos), making GC repo transactions available for the T+0 settlement cycle. GC repo transactions are frequently conducted by securities companies to raise funds to cover shortages after outright or SC repo transactions. GC repo transactions thus accompany outright or SC repo transactions. When the T+1 standard settlement cycle took effect for outright and SC repo transactions, therefore, how to accelerate post-trade procedures for GC repo transactions became a challenge. Then, the Subsequent Collateral Allocation Repos...
through new *Gensaki* transactions were introduced, based on precedent European and U.S. cases. Parties to a Subsequent Collateral Allocation Repo transaction designate the amount of funds to be delivered and a JGB basket (e.g., conditions for specifying the scope of JGBs for collateral allocation such as "Treasury Discount Bills" and "JGBs with maturity of less than 10 years or Treasury Discount Bills") before contracting, leaving JSCC to allocate the specific issue of JGBs for the transaction just before the settlement. In this way, market participants’ administrative costs including the selection of JGB issues have been reduced, allowing the time for post-trade procedures to be shortened.

### Fig.2-14 Image of Shortening of JGB Settlement Cycle

![Diagram showing shortening of JGB settlement cycle](image)

*Source: Prepared by the MOF based on the "Grand Design for Shortening of JGB Settlement Cycle (T+1)" published on November 26, 2014, by the Working Group on Shortening of JGB Settlement Cycle*

### Fig.2-15 JGB Coverage by Basket

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basket Issue Short Name</th>
<th>Treasury Discount Bills</th>
<th>Interest-bearing Term to Maturity 10y or less</th>
<th>Interest-bearing Term to Maturity more than 10y</th>
<th>Interest-bearing (Floating Rate)</th>
<th>Inflation-Indexed</th>
<th>STRIPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-TDB</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-U10</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-Fixed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-Large</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-All</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGBB-Strips</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: JSCC*
Transactions via JSCC since May 2018 (Fig. 2-16) indicate that Subsequent Collateral Allocation Repos have been increasingly used. Transition from lending (cash-collateral repo) transactions to the global standard of new *Genzaki* transactions (*Genzaki* repos including Subsequent Collateral Allocation Repos), as recommended upon the T+1 settlement cycle introduction, has made due progress. In the future, the globalization and vitalization of Japan’s repo market, including the expansion of nonresidents’ participation in the market, are expected to further improve the convenience of overall market participants.

*Fig.2-16 JSCC’s Clearing Value (daily average)*

Note: On a clearing value basis. *Genzaki* and cash collateral repos include both starts and ends. (Source) JSCC
(6) STRIPS

STRIPS (Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal of Securities) are a type of coupon-bearing government bonds of which coupons and principal can be separated and traded respectively. These separated coupons and principal can be reconstructed into a whole security.

While STRIPS have long been in place in the U.S. and some European countries, it was introduced into Japan in January 2003 to meet the needs of investors who want the separation of principal and interest components. The new instrument is also expected to enhance arbitrage functions between discount bonds and coupon-bearing bonds, thus adding to the efficiency of the JGB market.

State of stripping of STRIPS is published at the MOF’s web site on a regular basis.

Fig. 2-17 STRIPS - Conceptual Diagram

- 2-year fixed-rate coupon-bearing JGB with 100 million yen of face value (nominal rate: 2%; maturity date: 1 March, 2022)
  - (Principal)
    - 100 million yen
    - Payment Date: 1 March 2022
    - (coupon)
      - 1 million yen
      - Payment Date: 1 Sep. 2020
    - (coupon)
      - 1 million yen
      - Payment Date: 1 Mar. 2021
    - (coupon)
      - 1 million yen
      - Payment Date: 1 Sep. 2021
    - (coupon)
      - 1 million yen
      - Payment Date: 1 Mar. 2022

- Principal-only book-entry transfer JGB
  - Discount Bond
    - 100 million yen
    - Maturity Date: 1 March 2022

- Coupon-only book-entry transfer JGB
  - Discount Bond
    - 1 million yen
    - Maturity Date: 1 Sep. 2020
  - Discount Bond
    - 1 million yen
    - Maturity Date: 1 Mar. 2021
  - Discount Bond
    - 1 million yen
    - Maturity Date: 1 Sep. 2021
  - Discount Bond
    - 1 million yen
    - Maturity Date: 1 Mar. 2022

Each bond can be traded separately or re-integrated.
(7) JGB Futures Trading

Futures trading means trading in specific exchange-listed products at a price set at present on a certain fixed future date.

JGB futures are used for hedging risks associated with JGB trading (☞①) and serve as a bond market trend indicator.

While there are four types of JGB futures – 5-year, 10-year, 20-year and mini 10-year JGB futures (Fig. 2-18), 10-year JGB futures account for most of the JGB futures trading volume. All JGB futures contracts are listed on the Osaka Exchange. 10-year JGB futures are listed on the Singapore Exchange as well as the Osaka Exchange.

In JGB futures trading, trading instruments, trading units, the last trading day (☞②), the delivery settlement date (☞③) and other trading terms and conditions are standardized on the premise that many unspecified market participants trade in JGB futures on securities exchanges. Particularly, trading instruments are not actually issued JGBs but notional JGBs called "standardized instruments" (☞④). Only by paying margin as set by the exchange, any party can trade in JGB futures. Any party can implement a massive futures transaction by paying margin money that is far less than the full transaction value. This is a feature of futures trading.

When settling a futures transaction, a party may at any time before the last trading day make an offsetting trade (long liquidation or short covering) for net settlement or pay/receive the trading price and receive/deliver actual JGBs on the delivery settlement date (delivery settlement). Net settlement is used for most of futures trading.

For delivery settlement, actual JGBs designated as delivery-qualified issues (☞⑤) will be delivered in place of notional JGBs. As a standardized instrument and a delivery-qualified issue have different coupon rates and remaining maturities, a separately computed rate is used for adjusting a delivery price. This rate is called "conversion factor." Specifically, a delivery price is computed by multiplying a futures price and a conversion factor for a delivery-qualified issue together (☞⑥).

While there are multiple delivery-qualified issues, the delivering party (or the futures seller) has the right to select an issue for delivery. An issue costing the delivering party least is called the cheapest issue (☞⑦). As the delivering party can minimize losses or maximize profits by selecting the cheapest issue for delivery, the cheapest issue is usually selected for delivery. Therefore, futures prices tend to be closely linked to prices of the cheapest issues.

☞① For example, a party holding JGBs may sell JGB futures. If a JGB price falls after the futures sale, the party may incur a loss on the JGB holding while gaining a profit on the JGB futures sale (because cash JGB price falls are accompanied by JGB futures price drops). In this way, the party may avoid any huge loss overall.

☞② The “last trading day” is set to come five trading days before the delivery settlement date (Fig. 2-18).

☞③ The “delivery settlement date” is the 20th of March, June, September and December (Fig. 2-18).

☞④ “Standardized instruments” mean notional JGBs for which the stock exchange standardizes interest rates, redemption dates, and some other factors. For 10-year JGB futures trading, the standardized instrument is a notional JGB issue that carries a coupon rate of 6% and is set to mature in 10 years.

☞⑤ “Delivery-qualified issues” mean 10-Year Coupon-bearing JGBs with a remaining maturity of not less than 7 years but less than 11 years at the delivery settlement date in the case of 10-year JGB futures trading.

☞⑥ If the futures price is 150 yen and the conversion factor for the delivery-qualified issue is 0.72, the delivery price comes to 108 yen (150 yen × 0.72).

☞⑦ As of the end of March 2020, issues whose remaining maturity is shortest (approximately 7 years) are the cheapest issues.
Fig.2-18 Overview of JGB Futures Trading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date launched</th>
<th>5-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>10-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>20-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>Mini 10-year JGB Futures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 16, 1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 19, 1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 8, 1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 20, 1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 23, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Standardized 3%, 5-year JGB</td>
<td>Standardized 6%, 10-year JGB</td>
<td>Standardized 3%, 20-year JGB</td>
<td>Price of standardized 6%, 10-year JGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliverable grade</td>
<td>5-year coupon-bearing JGBs with remaining maturity of 4 years or more but less than 5.25 years</td>
<td>10-year coupon-bearing JGBs with remaining maturity of 7 years or more but less than 11 years</td>
<td>20-year coupon-bearing JGBs with remaining maturity of 19 years 3 months or more but less than 21 years</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading hours</td>
<td>&lt;Morning session&gt;</td>
<td>Opening:</td>
<td>8:45</td>
<td>Regular session:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract month</td>
<td>March, June, September, December cycle (three contract months traded at any one time)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last trading day</td>
<td>5th business day prior to each delivery date</td>
<td>*Each delivery date is 20th of each contract month.</td>
<td>*5th business day prior to each delivery date of the 10-year JGB Futures for the same contract month. Trading for the new contract month begins on the business day following the last trading day of 10-year JGB Futures.</td>
<td>*Final settlement day is 2nd business day following the last trading day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract unit</td>
<td>100 million yen face value</td>
<td>Multiply 100 thousand yen by the price of 10-year JGB Futures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick size</td>
<td>0.01 yen</td>
<td>0.005 yen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The price limit range shall be the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daily price limit</th>
<th>5-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>10-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>20-year JGB Futures</th>
<th>Mini 10-year JGB Futures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal price limit</td>
<td>± 2.00 yen</td>
<td>± 4.00 yen</td>
<td>± 2.00 yen</td>
<td>± 2.00 yen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum price limit</td>
<td>± 3.00 yen</td>
<td>± 6.00 yen</td>
<td>± 3.00 yen</td>
<td>± 3.00 yen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The price limits will be expanded to the expansion of price limits (Only price limits in one direction, up or down, will be expanded.)

(2) Immediately Executable Price Range (Dynamic Circuit Breaker (DCB))

(2-1) Long liquidation or short covering

(2-2) Final settlement (cash settlement)

(2-3) The BBO mid-price refers to the mid price of the immediate best offer and best bid.

(2-4) Exceptional cases

(2-5) Calendar spread trading is a form of trading conducted by placing bids/offers based on the price difference (spread) between two different contract months (specifically, a nearer contract month and a farther contract month; for example, March and June) to establish opposite positions by making one sale and one purchase at the same time for the two contract months.

(2-6) J-NET trading means the trading of futures and options without sessions at the J-NET Market that is independent from competitive trading markets.

(2-7) A position transfer system allows a transferring clearing participant (a transaction clearing participant who transfers unsettled positions) to transfer futures/options unsettled positions to a transferee clearing participant (a transaction clearing participant who takes over unsettled positions from the transferring clearing participant), with prior JSCC approval.

(Sources) Osaka Exchange, JSCC